RESOLUTION SUPPORTING CERTAIN TYPES OF LEGISLATIVE PROPOSALS TO PROVIDE PROPERTY TAX RELIEF

WHEREAS, a significant increase in taxable land values within the City will have the effect of increasing property tax assessments for Fiscal Year 2005/2006 (FY 05/06) unless action is taken to alleviate those increase before property tax bills are sent out to property owners; and

WHEREAS, the City Council believes that action must be taken to provide appropriate tax relief; and

WHEREAS, action taken at the local government level to provide property tax relief is unlikely to make a significant difference to taxpayers and, therefore, action by the Nevada Legislature to provide such relief is anticipated to be necessary; and

WHEREAS, the City Council believes that any legislative plan to provide property tax relief should include a number of elements and considerations, including those that are set forth in this Resolution below.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Las Vegas, in general terms, to support legislative proposals or plans that include the following elements:

- A. Any proposal must strike a balance between property owners' need for relief from rising land values and local governments' need for reasonable revenue growth to sustain services.
- B. Any long-term plan must be easily understood, predictable, and stable for taxpayers and for State and local governments.
- C. Property owners should be guaranteed that their property taxes will not increase in an amount greater than a designated percent.
- D. Within constitutional limitations, property tax relief should be directed toward those residential property owners who have experienced the greatest percentage increase in taxes.
- E. Property tax revenue of local governments should be allowed to increase in an amount consistent with growth that was experienced before the current "spike" in land values.
- F. Property taxes on new development should be initially allowed to come into the system taking into account the property's taxable value without a cap.

G.

1

2

Any proposed plan should take into consideration redevelopment districts and their

continued viability and should not include any proposal that, either directly or inadvertently, would

1	4. The exclusion of redevelopment areas and new development from the cap.						
2	5.	5. A provision that no other tax calculations be impacted by the cap.					
3		PASSED, A	ADOPTED, AND AP	PROVED this	day of	,2005.	
4				CITY OF L	AS VEGAS		
5				CITTOLL	AS VEGAS		
6				BY			
7				OSCAR	B. GOODMAN, Mayo	r	
8	ATTEST:						
9							
10	BARBARA JO RONEMUS, City Clerk						
11							
12	APPROVED AS TO FORM						
13	Walsteed 3-7-05 Date						
14	V	4	Date				
15							
16							
17							
18							
19							
20							
21							
22							
23							
24							
25							
26							
27 28							
28 							
- 1	i						